First Total Synthesis of ent-Gelsedine via a Novel Iodide-Promoted Allene N-Acyliminium Ion Cyclization

Winfred G. Beyersbergen van Henegouwen, Rutger M. Fieseler, Floris P. J. T. Rutjes, and Henk Hiemstra*

Laboratory of Organic Chemistry, Institute of Molecular Chemistry, University of Amsterdam, Nieuwe Achtergracht 129, 1018 WS Amsterdam, The Netherlands

henkh@org.chem.uva.nl

Received July 24, 2000

The first total synthesis of the oxindole alkaloid gelsedine (1) starting from (S)-malic acid is described. The key step is a novel iodide-promoted intramolecular reaction of an allene with an N-acyliminium ion intermediate which provided in a single step the bicyclic vinyl iodide 11. Other important steps are the highly stereoselective Pd-catalyzed Heck cyclization of N-methylanilide 23a which led to the desired spiro-oxindole 24a, the fully regioselective intramolecular oxymercuration of **25a** to the desired cyclic ether, and the remarkable oxindole *N*-demethylation of **29** via a radical mechanism by using dibenzoyl peroxide. The total synthesis was concluded by the stereoselective introduction of the ethyl group from the bis-Boc compound 41 followed by methoxylation of the oxindole nitrogen. This total synthesis leads to the unnatural (+)-enantiomer of gelsedine in 21 steps and 0.10% overall yield.

Introduction

The plant genus Gelsemium (Loganiaceae) consists of three species *Gelsemium elegans* Benth., *G. sempervirens* Ait., and *G. rankinii* Small, all of which contain a number of indole and oxindole alkaloids with remarkably diverse and complex structures.1 The Gelsemium alkaloids can be classified in six groups based on their skeletal types, of which gelsedine (1), gelsemine (2), koumidine (3), koumine (4), humentenine (5), and gelselegine (6) are representative members. Although specific biological activity of most of these alkaloids is poorly documented, extracts from Gelsemium species have a rich medicinal history, particularly in China.¹ Despite extensive synthetic research efforts for many years, successful de novo syntheses of Gelsemium alkaloids are only of relatively recent date. In 1989, Magnus et al. reported the total synthesis of (+)-koumine (4).² Well into the 1990s, we³ and others⁴ have published total syntheses of racemic gelsemine (2). Very recently, we completed the synthesis of gelsemine in enantiopure form.⁵ In this article, we

Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 5220-5230.
(3) Newcombe, N. J.; Fang, Y.; Vijn, R. J.; Hiemstra, H.; Speckamp, W. N. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1994, 767-768.
(4) (a) Dutton, J. K.; Steel, R. W.; Tasker, A. S.; Popsavin, V.; Johnson, A. P. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1994, 765-766. (b) Kuzmich, D.; Wu, S. C.; Ha, D.-C.; Lee, C.-S.; Ramesh, S.; Atarashi, S.; Choi, J.-K.; Hart, D. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 6943-6944.
(c) Fukuyama, T.; Gang, L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 7426-7427.
(d) Atarashi, S.; Choi, J.-K.; Ha, D.-C.; Hart, D. J.; Kuzmich, D.; Lee, C.-S.; Ramesh, S.; Wu, S. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 6226-6241.
(e) Madin, A.; O'Donnell, C. J.; Oh, T.; Old, D. W.; Overman, L. E.; Sharp, M. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1999, 38, 2934-2936.

present full details of the first total synthesis of enantiopure *ent*-gelsedine.⁶



Gelsedine was first isolated from Carolina jasmine (Gelsemium sempervirens) in 1953 by Schwarz and Marion,⁷ but also occurs in *G. elegans*.⁸ One year after Przybylska and Marion elucidated the structure of gelsemicine (7) on the basis of its X-ray structure in 1961,⁹ Wenkert proposed the structure for gelsedine

^{*} Corresponding author. Phone: +31 20 5255941; fax: +31 20 5255670.

⁽¹⁾ For reviews on Gelsemium alkaloids, see: (a) Saxton, J. E. In The Alkaloids, Manske, R. H. F., Ed.; Academic Press: New York, 1965; Vol. 8, pp 93-117. (b) Liu, Z.-J.; Lu, R.-R. In The Alkaloids; Brossi, A., Ed.; Academic Press: New York, 1988; Vol. 33, pp 83-140. (c) Takayama, H.; Sakai, S. In Studies in Natural Products Chemistry, Atta-ur-Rahman, Ed.; Elsevier: Amsterdam, 1995; Vol. 15, pp 465-518.

⁽²⁾ Magnus, P.; Mugrage, B.; DeLuca, M. R.; Cain, G. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 5220-5230.

^{(5) (}a) Dijkink, J.; Cintrat, J.-C.; Speckamp, W. N.; Hiemstra, H. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1999**, *40*, 5919–5922. (b) Manuscript in preparation. (6) For a preliminary communication of this work, see: Beyersbergen van Henegouwen, W. G.; Fieseler, R. M.; Rutjes, F. P. J. T.; Hiemstra, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1999, 38, 2214–2217.

⁽⁷⁾ Schwarz, H.; Marion, L. Can. J. Chem. 1953, 31, 958-975.

⁽⁸⁾ Jin, H. L.; Xu, R. S. Acta Chim. Sinica 1982, 40, 1129-1135.



spirocyclization was an open question, but Overman has shown that this outcome can be drastically influenced by varying the conditions.^{24b} The anilide **9** was thought to arise from a Pd-catalyzed aminocarbonylation of a suitably functionalized vinyl triflate or vinyl iodide (viz. 10 and 11, respectively) after introduction of a one-carbon fragment onto the bridge. These two Pd-catalyzed steps have also served extremely well in our gelsemine synthesis.³ The bicyclic lactams **10** and **11** have suitable skeletons to be accessed via N-acyliminium ion cyclization chemisty.¹⁷ In a previous paper, we showed that 12 is the ideal cyclization precursor.¹⁶ Inexpensive (S)-malic acid was chosen as the starting material, despite the fact that this will eventually lead to the unnatural isomer of gelsedine. The early stages of the synthesis bear resemblance to our total synthesis of the indole alkaloid peduncularine.18

lead to the oxindole 8 after hydroboration of the bridge methylene group. The stereochemical outcome of the

Results

In the previous paper, we reported an efficient fivestep synthesis of ethoxylactam 12 from (S)-malic acid and its N-acyliminium ion cyclization in neat formic acid to bicyclic ketone 14 in 79% yield (Scheme 2).¹⁶ In nine steps, ketone 14 was further transformed into the ethylsubstituted ketone **15**.¹⁶ We regarded **15** a suitable model system for the parent skeleton to study the introduction of the oxindole moiety (Scheme 2).

To perform the Heck cyclization in the appropriate way, vinyl triflate 17 had to be prepared regioselectively. Therefore, ketone 15 was subjected to kinetic deprotonation conditions (LiHMDS, -78 °C) and then treated with *N*-phenyltriflimide.¹⁹ The ¹H NMR spectrum of the crude product showed two signals in the double bond region in a ratio of 4:1. The major component was isolated in 15% yield and was identified by a COSY experiment as being the undesired regioisomer 16. The minor component could not be isolated and characterized due to

based on NMR analogy with gelsemicine.¹⁰ Although the biological activity of gelsedine has not been determined, gelsemicine was shown to be the most toxic component in G. sempervirens.1b

As a minor constituent of Carolina jasmine, the synthesis of gelsedine has not received as much attention as the parent alkaloid gelsemine. Until sofar, three groups have reported studies toward a total synthesis of gelsedine.^{11,12,13} In 1979, a synthesis of the skeleton of gelsedine lacking the ethyl and oxindole moieties was published by Baldwin and Doll,¹¹ and a synthesis of a comparable structure using a different approach was reported by Hamer in 1990.¹² That same year, Kende et al. synthesized a more advanced compound, which had the oxindole moiety in place, albeit in the wrong stereochemical sense.¹³ In 1994, Takayama et al. reported a semisynthesis of gelsedine starting from the natural product koumidine (3), the latter also being an intermediate in Magnus's synthesis of koumine (4).14 This synthesis was based on the biogenetic pathway proposed for gelsedine and other Gelsemium alkaloids.^{1b,c,15}

Synthetic Plan

Our retrosynthetic approach is depicted in Scheme 1. We envisioned that the introduction of the ethyl substituent into 8 should be possible via methodology developed earlier by our group on a model system.¹⁶ The tetrahydropyran ring was expected to arise via mercuric ion-induced ring closure of the hydroxyl group onto the double bond for which we had collected precedence in our gelsemine synthesis.³ Suitable protection of anilide 9 and subsequent Pd-catalyzed Heck spirocyclization should

^{(17) (}a) Klaver, W. J.; Hiemstra, H.; Speckamp, W. N. Tetrahedron *Lett.* **1987**, *28*, 1581–1584. (b) Klaver, W. J.; Moolenaar, M. J.; Hiemstra, H.; Speckamp, W. N. *Tetrahedron* **1988**, *44*, 3805–3818. (18) Klaver, W. J.; Hiemstra, H.; Speckamp, W. N. *J. Am. Chem.*

Soc. 1989, 111, 2588-2595.

⁽¹⁹⁾ For a review on vinyl and aryl triflates, see: Ritter, K. Synthesis 1993, 735-762.

^{(20) (}a) Overman, L. E.; Sharp, M. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 612-614. (b) Lin, N.-H.; Overman, L. E.; Rabinowitz, M. H.; Robinson, L. A.; Sharp, M. J.; Zablocki, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 9062–9072. (c) Caderas, C.; Lett, R.; Overman, L. E.; Rabinowitz, M. H.; Robinson, L. A.; Sharp, M. J.; Zablocki, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 9073-9082.

^{(21) (}a) Nossin, P. M. M.; Speckamp, W. N. Tetrahedron Lett. 1981, 22, 3289–3292. (b) Nossin, P. M. M.; Hamersma, J. A. M.; Speckamp, W. N. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1982**, *23*, 3807–3810. (c) Nossin, P. M. M., Ph.D. Thesis, University of Amsterdam (The Netherlands), 1983. For intermolecular reactions of N-acyliminium ions with allenes, see: (d) Danheiser, R. L.; Kwasigroch, C. A.; Tsai, Y.-M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 7233–7235. (e) Prasad, J. S.; Liebeskind, L. S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 29, 4257-4260. (f) Karstens, W. F. J.; Rutjes, F. P. J. T.; Hiemstra, H. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1997**, *38*, 6275–6278. (22) Brosius, A. D.; Overman, L. E. *J. Org. Chem.* **1997**, *62*, 440–

^{441.}

⁽²³⁾ Cacchi, S.; Morera, E.; Ortar, G. Tetrahedron Lett. 1985, 26, 1109-1112.

^{(24) (}a) Abelman, M. M.; Oh, T.; Overman, L. E. J. Org. Chem. 1987, *52*, 4130–4133. (b) Madin, A.; Overman, L. E. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1992**, *33*, 4859–4862. (c) Ashimori, A.; Bachand, B.; Overman, L. E.; Poon, D. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 6477–6487. (d) Ashimori, A.;
 Bachand, B.; Calter, M. A.; Govek, S. P.; Overman, L. E.; Poon, D. J.
 J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 6488–6499. For a review, see: (e) Link, J. T.; Overman, L. E. Chemtech 1998 (August), 19-26.

⁽⁹⁾ Przybylska, M.; Marion, L. Can. J. Chem. 1961, 39, 2124-2127. (10) Wenkert, E.; Orr, J. C.; Garratt, S.; Hansen, J. H.; Wickberg,
B.; Leicht, C. L. *J. Org. Chem.* **1962**, *27*, 4123–4126.
(11) Baldwin, S. W.; Doll, R. J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1979**, *20*, 3275–

³²⁷⁸

⁽¹²⁾ Hamer, N. K. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1990, 102–103.
(13) (a) Kende, A. S.; Luzzio, M. J.; Mendoza, J. S. J. Org. Chem.

^{1990, 55, 918-924. (}b) Luzzio; M. J., Ph.D. Thesis, University of Rochester, 1987.

⁽¹⁴⁾ Takayama, H.; Tominaga, Y.; Kitajama, M.; Aimi, N.; Sakai, S. J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 4381-4385.

 ⁽¹⁵⁾ Takayama, H.; Sakai, S. In *The Alkaloids*; Cordell, G. A., Ed.;
 Academic Press: New York, 1998; Vol. 50, pp 415–452.
 (16) Beyersbergen van Henegouwen, W. G.; Hiemstra, H. *J. Org.*

Chem. 1997, 62, 8862-8867.



Conditions: (a) ref. 16. (b) HCO₂H, 85 °C. (c) satd NH₃ in MeOH.



Conditions: (a) LiHMDS, THF, –78 $^\circ\text{C},$ then PhNTf_2. (b) TBSCI, imid., DMAP, CH_2Cl_2.

purification problems. Thermodynamic conditions (KH, rt, and treatment with *N*-phenyltriflimide or treatment with sterically hindered 2,6-di-*tert*-butyl-4-methylpyridine and Tf_2O , rt) did not effectively yield any vinyl triflate.

As it appeared difficult to create the desired regioisomer at this stage of the synthesis, the formation of the vinyl triflate at an earlier stage of the synthesis was studied. Ketone **14** (which does not yet contain the ethyl group) was protected as its *tert*-butyldimethylsilyl ether **18** and subjected to kinetic deprotonation conditions as well. The ¹H NMR spectrum of the crude product showed only one product, which after isolation was identified by a COSY experiment to be the undesired regioisomer **19**. In addition, with similar but differently functionalized bicyclic ketones we were also unable to form the desired regioisomeric vinyl triflate in acceptable yield.

Because regioselective functionalization of the sevenmembered ring after *N*-acyliminium cyclization appeared unpractical, we considered methods to regioselectively introduce a vinyl triflate (or its synthetic equivalent) in the cyclization step. However, few methods exist to introduce such functionality via an N-acyliminium ion reaction. There is one example by Overman where an iodide-terminated iminium ion cyclization of an alkyne leads to an exocyclic vinyl iodide.20 Because a similar reaction in our case would lead to the undesired regioisomer, we decided to investigate a similar cyclization of the allene 12. N-Acyliminium ion cyclizations of allenes are scarce in the literature,²¹ and iodide-promoted variants are unknown to the best of our knowledge. Unfortunately, subjection of 12 to the conditions used by Overman, i.e. CSA, NaI, H₂O, 100 °C or TfOH, n-Bu₄NI, CHCl₃, produced no vinyl iodide or ketone.^{20,22} Alterna-



Conditions: (a) NaI, HCO₂H, 85 °C, (0.2 M of **12**). (b) *i. o*-bromoaniline, Pd(OAc)₂, PPh₃, Et₃N, CO; *ii.* satd NH₃ in MeOH.

tively, when allene 12 was dissolved in formic acid in the presence of a large excess of sodium iodide and heated to 85 °C for 18 h we were delighted to find vinyl iodide 11 as the main product in 42% yield (Scheme 4). We were unable to prevent competitive attack of formic acid providing ketone 13 as a byproduct in 34% yield. Lowering the temperature to as low as 40 °C or temperatures between 40 °C and 80 °C resulted in longer reaction times without improvement of the ratio. In fact, at these temperatures the reaction did not go to completion within 18 h. Varying the concentration and nature of the iodide source had no beneficial effect either. Probably formic acid-mediated formation and solvolysis of the intermediate *N*-acyliminium ion **20** is crucial for the cyclization to occur. We were nevertheless pleased with this unique reaction, which produced vinyl iodide **11** in only one step, suitably functionalized for elaboration to the oxindole moiety. Vinyl iodide 11 was readily separated from 13 by flash chromatography so that sufficient quantities of **11** could be assembled for the further study of the total synthesis of gelsedine.

The construction of the spiro-oxindole moiety onto 11 commenced by applying a Pd-catalyzed aminocarbonylation process²³ to give anilide **21** after subsequent deformylation. Because it is known that the stereochemical course of the Heck spiro-cyclization is dependent upon steric influences, we envisioned that a sterically nondemanding sp²-hybridized bridge carbon would be ideal. The approach of the arylpalladium complex from the exo direction would then be sterically the least encumbered. Furthermore, by using an inert methylene substituent at the bridge, the use of protecting groups in this region of the molecule was avoided. Therefore, alcohol 21 was first oxidized with PCC to ketone 22 in 66% yield (Scheme 5). A Swern oxidation, which was successfully applied on our model system,¹⁶ did not proceed satisfactorily in this case. The exocyclic double bond was then introduced by a Wittig reaction to provide 9 in 83% yield.

Overman discovered that Heck cyclizations of *N*unsubstituted anilides are ineffective suggesting *N*substitution of the anilide **9** is necessary.^{24a} Initially, anilide **9** was *N*-functionalized with several groups, i.e. methyl, benzyl, methoxymethyl, and acetyl in good yields. Heck cyclizations were carried out $(Pd(PPh_3)_4, Et_3N, MeCN, 100-120 \ ^{\circ}C$, sealed tube) with each of these precursors to give products **23a**-**d**, respectively.²⁴ The yields in the case of the methyl, benzyl, and methoxymethyl substituents were high, while with the acetyl



Conditions: (a) PCC, CH₂Cl₂, 40 °C. (b) Ph₃PMeBr, *n*-BuLi, THF, 0 °C, then **22**, reflux. (c) NaH, MeI or BnBr or MOMCI, THF; Ac₂O, Et₃N, DMAP, DMF. (d) Pd(PPh₃)₄, Et₃N, MeCN, 100-120 °C, sealed tube. (e) (Chx)₂BH, THF, 0 °C, then NaOH/H₂O₂.

group it was somewhat lower, potentially due to in situ deacetylation.

Clearly, the nature of the *N*-substituent does not influence the outcome of the reaction. In principle all of these groups can be used. The stereochemistry in the case of R = Me was unambiguously proven in a later stage of the synthesis. By comparison of the ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra, we concluded that **24b**-**d** possess the same stereochemistry. In all cases less than 5% of the spiroisomer was observed in the ¹H NMR spectrum of the crude product.

The bridge carbon was now further elaborated by hydroboration with sterically hindered dicyclohexylborane²⁵ to discriminate between the exo- and endocyclic double bond. In the case of 24a-c, hydroxymethylene products **25a**–**c** were obtained in comparably high yields. Initially, commercially available 9-BBN was used for hydroboration of 24a, but the resulting byproduct after workup (1,5-cyclooctanediol) could not be separated by column chromatography from product 25a due to comparable R_{f} values. In all cases, the desired stereoisomer was formed exclusively, as a result of attack of the borane from the less sterically encumbered exo face. Hydroboration of 24d with dicyclohexylborane afforded deacetylated alcohol 25d, which was probably formed by attack of hydroxide on the acetyl carbonyl during workup. The synthesis was eventually continued with the methyl as N-substituent, because in the course of our work we found out that it can be selectively removed, as will be detailed later.

To close the tetrahydropyran ring we initially tried to activate the double bond with iodine, but no reaction was observed. We then relied upon a procedure from our total synthesis of gelsemine.^{3,26} For example, treatment of **25b**

Beyersbergen van Henegouwen et al.

Scheme 6



Conditions: (a) Hg(O_2CCF_3)₂, THF, then satd aq. NaCl. (b) NaBH₄, Et₃BnNCl, 10% aq. NaOH, CH₂Cl₂.

with $Hg(OTf)_2$ in MeNO₂ for 2 days followed by addition of saturated aqueous NaCl gave chloromercurial **26b** in 58% yield together with 30% of the starting material.



The low yield, long reaction time, and the in situ preparation of Hg(OTf)₂ led us to adopt the procedure employing commercially available Hg(O₂CCF₃)₂. Treatment of 25a with $Hg(O_2CCF_3)_2$ in THF followed by addition of saturated aqueous NaCl gave the chloromercurial **26a** (Scheme 6).²⁷ In the case of **25d**, application of an identical procedure provided the required product **26d**. However, the yield could not be determined, because **26d** was too polar to be purified by column chromatography. In all cases the six-membered ring ether was produced as a single product. MM2 force field calculations (CSChem3D Pro version 4.0) on model systems 27 and **28** showed that the steric energy of the six-membered ring ether is significantly lower (41.85 kcal/mol) than the undesired five-membered ring ether (45.48 kcal/mol, Figure 1). This difference is probably reflected in the transition states of the cyclic ether formation.

Reduction of the chloromercurial proved to be problematic. For the reduction of **26a** we first examined a procedure utilizing a two-phase system of aqueous NaOH and CH₂Cl₂.^{3,28} After addition of the phase-transfer catalyst benzyltriethylammonium chloride and an excess of NaBH₄, metallic mercury precipitated, and the desired product **29** along with alcohol **30** was obtained in 35% and 37% yield, respectively. The stereochemistry of alcohol **30** was tentatively assigned based on the absence of a NOE effect between H-2 and H-10. This product probably arose from reaction of the intermediate alkyl radical with molecular oxygen present in the solution.²⁹

⁽²⁵⁾ Brown, H. C.; Mandal, A. K.; Kulkarni, S. U. J. Org. Chem. 1977, 42, 1392–1398.

⁽²⁶⁾ Nishisawa, M.; Takenaka, H.; Hayashi, Y. J. Org. Chem. **1986**, *51*, 806–813.

⁽²⁷⁾ Sutherlin, D. P.; Armstrong, R. W. J. Org. Chem. **1997**, 62, 5267–5283.

⁽²⁸⁾ Benhamou, M. C.; Etemad-Moghadam, G.; Speziale, V.; Lattes, A. *Synthesis* **1979**, 891–892.

⁽Ž9) Hill, C. L.; Whitesides, G. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1974**, 96, 870–876.



Figure 1. Optimized geometries (CSChem3D Pro) of the theoretical six- and five-membered ring ethers 27 and 28, indicating that the former is more stable than the latter by ca. 3.5 kcal/mol (see text).

of gelsemine when the substrate concentration in the organic phase of the reaction mixture was too low. However, at a concentration of 0.4 M the desired product was selectively obtained in 88% yield. 3 Unfortunately, the use of higher concentration was not successful in the present case. Product 30 could in principle be elaborated toward 14-hydroxygelsedine (31), another *Gelsemium* alkaloid.³⁰

Finally, we relied upon a procedure initially developed by Whitesides and optimized by Evans for reduction of a tetrahydropyran chloromercurial in the total synthesis of the polyether antibiotic X-206.31 Thus, treatment of 26a with n-Bu₃SnH and AIBN at 55 °C in toluene provided exclusively the desired product **29** in 80% yield. The concentration of chloromercurial 26a is crucial and should not be lower than 0.5 M. In this way, the concentration of *n*-Bu₃SnH remains sufficiently high to react directly with the intermediate alkyl radical.



Having installed the ether bridge, the next stage consisted of introduction of the ethyl moiety. This requires reductive removal of the benzyl group from the saturated lactam nitrogen. However, to achieve this using a dissolving metal reduction, the oxindole ring needs protection as the anion. Therefore, the oxindole methyl group should be removed first. We hoped that this might be accomplished by using a procedure which is known for removal of an N-methyl group from an indole.³² Initially, we attempted this reaction on model system 33, which was synthesized via exhaustive methylation of oxindole 32 (Scheme 7).³³ Treatment of 33 with dibenzoyl peroxide (CH₂Cl₂, 80 °C, sealed tube) followed by NaOH in methanol and subsequently NH₃ in methanol provided **34** in a remarkable 74% yield.

This reaction presumably starts with the formation of a phenyl radical,³⁴ which abstracts a hydrogen from the



Conditions: (a) NaH, MeI, THF. (b) i. (PhCO₂)₂, CH₂Cl₂, 80 °C, sealed tube; ii. 1 M NaOH in MeOH; iii. satd NH3 in MeOH.



Conditions: (a) *i* . (PhCO ₂) ₂ , CH ₂ Cl ₂ , 80 °C, sealed tube; *ii* . satd I MeOH. (b) Li, NH₃, THF, –78 °C. (c) NaH, MeO₂CCI, THF. (d) EtMgBr, THE -78 °C

methyl group in 33 (Scheme 8). The resulting intermediate radical can then react with benzoyl peroxide to form **36**. This extraordinary reaction is probably viable due to the stability of the intermediate radical **35**.³⁵ Subsequent treatment with NH₃ in methanol was necessary, because after 18 h still a certain amount of intermediate **37** was present. In the presence of ammonia, **37** reacted smoothly to provide the deprotected oxindole 34.

Application of these conditions to the more complex system 29 proceeded remarkably well providing the deprotected oxindole 38 in 74% yield after treatment with ammonia to cleave the intermediate benzoate (Scheme 9). It is a remarkable observation that the methylene hydrogens of the benzyl group do not react at all, although the benzyl radical might be even better stabilized. The benzyl group was then removed by treatment with lithium in liquid ammonia. The reaction was best

⁽³⁰⁾ Schun, Y.; Cordell, G. A. J. Nat. Prod. 1985, 48, 788-791.

^{(31) (}a) Whitesides, G. M.; San Filippo, J., Jr. J. Am. Chem. Soc.

¹⁹⁷⁰, *92*, 6611–6624. (b) Evans, D. A.; Bender, S. L.; Morris, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1988**, *110*, 2506–2526. (32) Nakatsuka, S.; Asano, O.; Goto, T. Heterocycles 1986, 24, 2791-

^{2792.}

⁽³³⁾ Other syntheses of compound 34: (a) Julian, P. L.; Pikl, J.; Boggess, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1934, 56, 1797–1801. (b) Goehring, R. R.; Sachdeva, Y. P.; Pisipati, J. S.; Sleevi, M. C.; Wolfe, J. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 435-443. (c) Clark, A. J.; Davies, D. I.; Jones, K.; Millbanks, C. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. **1994**, 41–42. (d) Beckwith, A. L. J.; Storey, J. M. D. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1995.977-978

⁽³⁴⁾ Ghosez, A.; Giese, B.; Zipse, H. In Methoden Der Organischen Chemie (Houben-Weyl); Regitz, M.; Giese, B., Eds.; Thieme: Stuttgart/ New York, 1989; Vol. E19a, 533-716.

⁽³⁵⁾ For α-acylamino radicals, see: Hart, D. J.; Tsai, Y.-M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 1430-1432 and ref 4d.



Conditions: (a) Boc_2O, DMAP, THF. (b) $\it i.$ EtMgBr, THF, –78 °C, then satd aq. NH₄Cl; $\it ii.$ TFA, Et_3SiH, CH_2Cl_2, then extra TFA.

quenched with isoprene, and in this fashion bis-amide **39** was obtained in 79% yield.

With **39** in hand, the introduction of the ethyl moiety was studied (Scheme 9). In initial model studies, a methyl carbamate was successfully used to activate the lactam carbonyl for attack of EtMgBr leading to the desired stereoisomer.¹⁶ Therefore, we anticipated that a similar sequence of reactions could also be applied to lactam **39**. Although we were aware that it would be impossible to selectively react the N-7 lactam NH with methyl chloroformate, we felt confident that in the Grignard step we would be able to discriminate between the carbamate and the oxindole carbonyl for steric reasons. Thus, by deprotonation with NaH, followed by addition of methyl chloroformate, the polar bis-amide 39 was converted to the less polar bis-methyl carbamate 40. Subsequent treatment with excess of EtMgBr, however, gave exclusively the bis-amide 39 resulting from attack of EtMgBr onto the carbonyls of both carbamates. Variation of the temperature did not change the outcome of this reaction.

The sterically more hindered Boc protecting group was then used to suppress this undesired reaction (Scheme 10). Thus, treatment of 39 with Boc₂O and DMAP in THF gave bis-Boc carbamate 41 in 78% yield. On reaction with excess of EtMgBr the N,O-hemiacetal 42 was produced as a single product. The Boc group was removed from the oxindole in this process, presumably due to attack of EtMgBr on the Boc carbonyl as the oxindole carbonyl was too sterically hindered. As expected, the ethyl group was introduced selectively from the top face. Although 42 was stable at room temperature, it was treated in crude form with TFA (trifluoroacetic acid) generating the N-acyliminium ion 43, which was reduced in situ with triethylsilane. Again, reduction took place selectively from the least hindered side to form a single product. Additional TFA removed the remaining Boc group, and the TFA salt of desmethoxygelsedine 44 was obtained in 61% yield over two steps. At this stage the stereochemistry of the ethyl and oxindole moieties was unambiguously proven by NOE measurements (a NOE of 5.0% was observed between H-8 and H-9 and a NOE of 3.9% between H-10 and H-15). The presence of the trifluoroacetate counterion was indicated with ¹⁹F NMR and ¹³C NMR. The ¹⁹F NMR spectrum of 44 in CD₃OD showed one peak at δ -77.4 (¹⁹F NMR spectrum of trifluoroacetic acid in CD₃OD, one peak at δ –78.2). In the ¹³C NMR spectrum two quartets were observed at δ 163.4 ($J_{\rm CF}$ = 35.4 Hz) and δ 118.3 $(J_{\rm CF} = 290.6$ Hz), respectively.

For the final stage introduction of the *N*-methoxy group, a procedure precedented to work on similar

Scheme 11





molecules was followed.^{14,36} This involves protection of the amine in **44** with 2,2,2-trichloroethyl chloroformate to give **45** and subsequent reduction of the oxindole carbonyl with borane to afford spiro-indoline **46** (Scheme 11). The oxidation of this indoline (urea·H₂O₂, Na₂WO₄· 2H₂O, 10% aq MeOH) proceeded rather slowly, but did produce **47** after subsequent treatment with diazomethane.

The last step was the deprotection of the Troc group with zinc in acetic acid. After workup, the ¹H NMR spectrum of the crude product showed less than 5% of a byproduct, which was only different in the aromatic region. Presumably the N–O bond was partially reduced during the deprotection. After purification with preparative TLC, pure (+)-gelsedine was obtained in 84% yield, which was identical to natural (–)-gelsedine¹⁴ (400 MHz ¹H NMR, 100 MHz ¹³C NMR spectra and HRMS (FAB)), except for the optical rotation (synthetic (+)-gelsedine: $[\alpha]^{22}_{D} = +120$ (c = 0.25, CHCl₃); natural (–)-gelsedine: $[\alpha]^{25}_{D} = -159$ (c = 1.35, CHCl₃)).⁷ The spectral comparisons were made by using NMR spectra and a sample of (–)-gelsedine kindly made available to us by Professor H. Takayama of Chiba University in Japan.

Conclusion

We have successfully completed the first de novo total synthesis of enantiopure *ent*-gelsedine in 21 steps from (*S*)-malic acid in an overall yield of 0.10%. The unique combination of iodide-promoted cationic allene cyclization producing a vinyl iodide and palladium-catalyzed elaboration of the latter in carbonylation and subsequent Heck chemistry has been the key to success. The Heck cyclization and the introduction of the ethyl moiety via *N*-acyliminium ion chemistry both proceeded with complete stereoselectivity. In addition, a methyl group has been shown to be a useful oxindole *N*-protective group. We anticipate that the novel transformations developed in this project will find further application in synthesis.

Experimental Section

General. All reactions involving oxygen or moisture sensitive compounds were carried out under a dry nitrogen atmosphere. Unless otherwise noted, reagents were added by syringe. IR spectra were measured as thin films on NaCl plates unless otherwise noted and wavelengths (ν) are reported in cm⁻¹. NMR spectra were measured as solutions in CDCl₃ (unless otherwise noted). Chemical shifts (δ) are expressed in ppm relative to an internal standard of CHCl₃ (7.26 ppm for ¹H NMR and 77.0 ppm for ¹³C NMR). Mass spectra and accurate mass determinations were performed using electron

^{(36) (}a) Murahashi, S.-I.; Oda, T.; Sugahara, T.; Masui, Y. *J. Org. Chem.* **1990**, *55*, 1744–1749. (b) Kitajima, M.; Takayama, H.; Sakai, S. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1* **1994**, 1573–1578.

impact (EI) mass spectrometry, unless otherwise noted. R_f values were obtained using thin-layer chromatography (TLC) on Merck F-254 silica gel plastic sheets with the indicated solvent (mixture). Chromatographic purification refers to flash chromatography using the solvent (mixture) mentioned above (unless otherwise noted) and Acros silica gel (0.030–0.075 mm).³⁷ Melting and boiling points are uncorrected. All starting materials were obtained from commercial suppliers and used without further purification. THF was distilled from sodium/ benzophenone prior to use. DMF, CH₂Cl₂, and MeCN were distilled from CaH₂ and stored over 4 Å molecular sieves under dry nitrogen atmosphere. Triethylamine and diisopropylamine were distilled from and stored over KOH pellets.

(1*S*,6*R*,9*S*)-Formic Acid 7-Benzyl-4-iodo-8-oxo-7azabicyclo[4.2.1]non-3-en-9-yl Ester (11). To a solution of 12¹⁶ (10.62 g, 0.0369 mol) in formic acid (185 mL) was added anhydrous sodium iodide (110.77 g, 0.739 mol). After stirring the reaction mixture at 85 °C for 18 h, the formic acid was evaporated, and the residue was taken up in CH₂Cl₂ (250 mL) and water (250 mL). The water layer was extracted with CH₂- Cl_2 (2 \times 75 mL), and the combined organic layers were washed with water (75 mL), dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated. The residue was chromatographed (first with EtOAc/petroleum ether 1:1, then with EtOAc/petroleum ether 4:1) to give 11 (6.16 g, 42%) and 13 (3.60 g, 34%) both as colorless oils; 11: R_{f} 0.31 (EtOAc/petroleum ether 1:1); $[\alpha]^{22}_{D}$ +10.3 (c = 1.0, CHCl₃); IR 2920, 1735, 1682, 1495, 1446, 1165; ¹H NMR (400 MHz) δ 7.97 (s, 1H), 7.37–7.23 (m, 5H), 6.34 (s, 1H), 5.02 (s, 1H), 4.86 (d, J = 15.3 Hz, 1H), 4.12 (d, J = 15.3 Hz, 1H), 3.44 (t, J = 3.3 Hz, 1H), 2.99 (d, J = 18.7 Hz, 1H), 2.83 (d, J = 18.9Hz, 1H), 2.77 (s, 1H), 2.70 (d, J = 18.5 Hz, 1H), 2.57 (d, J = 18.5 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz) & 173.0, 159.8, 137.3, 135.4, 128.7, 127.6, 127.5, 93.1, 75.4, 61.9, 45.8, 45.2, 44.2, 32.5; HRMS calculated for C₁₆H₁₆INO₃ 397.0174, found 397.0146. **13**: $R_f 0.40$ (EtOAc/petroleum ether 4:1); $[\alpha]^{22}_D$ +6.4 (c = 0.5, CHCl₃); IR 2932, 1721, 1698, 1694, 1451, 1337, 1224, 1164; ¹H NMR (400 MHz) δ 7.94 (s, 1H), 7.37–7.27 (m, 5H), 5.00 (d, J = 15.1 Hz, 1H), 4.76 (s, 1H), 4.20 (d, J = 15.1 Hz, 1H), 3.70 (dd, J = 2.6, 4.3 Hz, 1H), 2.75 (t, J = 4.4 Hz, 1H), 2.60 (dd, J= 2.6, 16.2 Hz, 1H), 2.55 (dd, J = 4.4, 16.3 Hz, 1H), 2.48-2.38 (m, 2H), 2.30-2.23 (m, 1H), 1.93-1.84 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz) δ 207.9, 172.9, 159.7, 135.3, 129.0, 128.1, 128.0, 75.8, 57.5, 47.7, 44.3, 43.6, 39.9, 24.4; HRMS (FAB+) calculated for $C_{15}H_{18}NO_3 \ (M + H - CO) \ 260.1287, \ found \ 260.1294$

(1S,6R,9S)-7-Benzyl-9-hydroxy-8-oxo-7-azabicyclo[4.2.1]non-3-ene-4-carboxylic Acid (2-Bromophenyl)amide (21). A solution of **11** (1.67 g, 4.20 mmol), Pd(OAc)₂ (0.141 g, 0.630 mmol), triphenylphospine (0.330 g, 1.26 mmol), o-bromoaniline (2.16 g, 12.6 mmol), and triethylamine (2.93 mL, 21.0 mmol) in DMF (14 mL) was stirred under carbon monoxide atmosphere (balloon) for 20 h at 40 °C. Then the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure using a vacuum pump (<50 mbar, heat slightly with a heat gun to evaporate DMF), and the residue was chromatographed (EtOAc/petroleum ether 4:1) to give a reddish oil, which was dissolved in a saturated methanolic NH₃ solution (12 mL) and stirred for 15 min at room temperature. The solvent was evaporated and the residue chromatographed to give **21** (1.19 g, 64%) as a colorless oil; R_f 0.45 (CH₂Cl₂/acetone 1:1); $[\alpha]^{22}_{D}$ –16.3 (c = 1.0, CHCl₃); IR 3405, 2918, 1685, 1676, 1590, 1518, 1433, 1298, 1249; ¹H NMR (400 MHz) δ 8.23 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.80 (s, 1H, NH), 7.54 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.34–7.25 (m, 5H), 7.20–7.16 (m, 1H), 6.99 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 6.47 (s, 1H), 4.78 (d, J = 15.2 Hz, 1H), 4.20 (d, J = 15.2 Hz, 1H), 4.04 (s, 1H), 3.76 (s, 1H), 2.96 (d, J = 19.4 Hz, 1H), 2.87 (d, J = 18.5 Hz), 2.74–2.63 (m, 2H), 2.52 (d, J = 18.4 Hz, 1H), 2.35 (s, 1H, OH); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz) & 175.2, 167.8, 136.0, 135.4, 133.0, 132.2, 131.3, 128.6, 128.4, 128.2, 127.8, 127.5, 125.5, 122.4, 114.4, 75.0, 63.2, 48.7, 44.5, 30.6, 30.1; HRMS (FAB+) calculated for C₂₂H₂₂⁷⁹BrN₂O₃ (M + H) 441.0813, found 441.0815.

(1*S*,6*R*)-7-Benzyl-8,9-dioxo-7-azabicyclo[4.2.1]non-3ene-4-carboxylic Acid (2-Bromophenyl)amide (22). To a

solution of 21 (2.50 g, 5.66 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (28 mL) was added PCC (4.88 g, 22.64 mmol), and the reaction mixture was heated at 40 °C for 17 h. Then extra PCC (1.22 g, 5.66 mmol) was added, and the mixture was stirred at 40 °C for another 5 h, cooled to room temperature, and poured onto a short column of silica (4.0 \times 6.0 cm). This was eluted with acetone. The top layer of the short column was removed and thoroughly stirred with acetone, and then poured back onto the column and eluted further with acetone until no more product came off. The acetone was evaporated and the residue was chromatographed $(CH_2Cl_2/acetone 8:1)$ to give **22** (1.64 g, 66%) as a colorless oil; $R_f 0.39$ (CH₂Cl₂/acetone 8:1); $[\alpha]^{22}_D - 30.8$ (c = 1.0, CHCl₃); IR 3279, 2921, 1723, 1696, 1679, 1577, 1517, 1434, 1299; ¹H NMR (400 MHz) δ 8.21 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.80 (s, 1H, NH), 7.53 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.33–7.24 (m, 5H), 7.22–7.19 (m, 1H), 6.99 (t, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 6.54 (s, 1H), 4.91 (d, J = 14.7 Hz, 1H), 4.27 (d, J = 14.7 Hz, 1H), 3.99 (s, 1H), 3.10–3.06 (m, 3H), 2.68 (d, J = 16.9 Hz, 1H), 2.46 (d, J = 17.8 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz) & 207.8, 170.7, 167.0, 135.2, 135.0, 133.7, 132.2, 129.7, 128.9, 128.4, 128.3, 128.1, 125.6, 121.9, 113.9, 62.9, 49.4, 43.9, 30.9, 29.6; HRMS calculated for C₂₂H₁₉⁷⁹-BrN₂O₃ 438.0579, found 438.0605.

(1.S,6R)-7-Benzyl-9-methylene-8-oxo-7-azabicyclo[4.2.1]non-3-ene-4-carboxylic Acid (2-Bromophenyl)amide (9). To a solution of methyltriphenylphosphonium bromide (3.33 g, 9.33 mmol) in THF (40 mL) was added at 0 °C a 1.6 M solution of n-BuLi in hexane (5.72 mL, 9.14 mmol). After stirring for 25 min a solution of 22 (1.64 g, 3.73 mmol) in THF (10 mL) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at 70 °C for 18 h and then poured onto saturated aqueous NH₄Cl (100 mL). The water layer was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 \times 60 mL). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated. The residue was chromatographed to give 9 (1.37 g, 83%) as a colorless oil; $R_f 0.34$ (EtOAc/petroleum ether 2:1); $[\alpha]^{22}_{D}$ -31.0 (c = 1.0, CHCl₃); IR 3279, 2907, 1699, 1673, 1564, 1513, 1434, 1298; ¹H NMR (400 MHz) δ 8.28 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.81 (s, 1H, NH), 7.54 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.34–7.19 (m, 6H), 6.99 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 6.52 (s, 1H), 5.05 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 4.88 (d, J = 15.1 Hz, 1H), 4.20 (s, 1H), 4.10 (d, J = 15.2 Hz, 1H), 3.22 (s, 1H), 3.11 (d, J = 17.9 Hz, 2H), 2.63 (d, J =19.2 Hz, 1H), 2.49 (d, J = 18.6 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz) δ 174.4, 168.1, 147.1, 136.0, 135.2, 133.4, 132.2, 131.2, 128.7, 128.3, 128.1, 127.6, 125.3, 121.9, 113.8, 106.8, 59.4, 46.1, 43.9, 35.5, 34.7; HRMS calculated for $C_{23}H_{21}^{79}BrN_2O_2$ 436.0786, found 436.0767.

(1S,6R)-7-Benzyl-9-methylene-8-oxo-7-azabicyclo[4.2.1]non-3-ene-4-carboxylic Acid Methyl-(2-bromophenyl)amide (23a). To a solution of 9 (1.36 g, 3.11 mmol) in THF (15 mL) was added 60% sodium hydride in mineral oil (0.373 g, 9.33 mmol). Methyl iodide (0.484 mL, 7.78 mmol) was added at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 4.5 h (not longer) and then poured onto saturated aqueous NH₄Cl (25 mL). The water layer was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ $(3 \times 25 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated. The residue was chromatographed to give **23a** (1.10 g, 78%) as a colorless oil; $R_f 0.36$ (EtOAc/petroleum ether 10:1); $[\alpha]^{22}_{D}$ +56.6 (c = 0.5, CHCl₃); IR 3059, 2919, 1697, 1673, 1584, 1478, 1444, 1420, 1364; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, benzene- d_6 , T = 340 K) δ 7.25 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.19–7.03 (m, 5H), 6.82 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 6.72 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 1H), 6.61 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 1H), 5.65 (s, 1H), 5.08 (d, J = 15.1 Hz, 1H), 4.45 (s, 2H), 3.79 (s, 1H), 3.68 (d, J = 14.1 Hz, 1H), 3.10 (s, 3H), 3.04 (d, J = 19.2 Hz, 1H), 2.76 (s, 1H), 2.60 (d, J = 17.9 Hz, 1H), 2.23 (d, J = 11.8 Hz, 1H), 1.87 (d, J = 18.1 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, benzene- d_6 , T = 340 K) δ 173.9, 172.7, 149.2, 145.0, 137.9, 134.4, 132.7, 130.9, 129.3, 128.9, $128.7,\,128.5,\,128.2,\,127.9,\,123.5,\,105.8,\,59.8,\,47.0,\,44.1,\,37.1,$ 36.5, 35.9; HRMS (FAB+) calculated for C₂₄H₂₄⁷⁹BrN₂O₂ (M + H) 451.1021, found 451.0993

N-Methyl Spiro-oxindole 24a. A solution of **23a** (0.365 g, 0.809 mmol), $Pd(PPh_3)_4$ (0.187 g, 0.162 mmol) and triethylamine (1.12 mL, 8.08 mmol) in MeCN (8 mL) in a sealed tube was heated slowly to 120 °C. After stirring for 40 h, the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, and the solvent was evaporated. The residue was chromatographed

⁽³⁷⁾ Still, W. C.; Kahn, M.; Mitra, A. *J. Org. Chem.* **1978**, *43*, 2923–2925.

(load with CH₂Cl₂) to give **24a** (0.270 g, 90%) as a colorless oil; $R_f 0.42$ (EtOAc/petroleum ether 10:1); $[\alpha]^{22}_D + 14.9$ (c = 1.0, CHCl₃); IR 3027, 2930, 1712, 1673, 1608, 1492, 1343, 1248; ¹H NMR (400 MHz) δ 7.33–7.21 (m, 6H), 7.07 (dd, J = 7.3, 16.4 Hz, 1H), 7.00 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 6.77 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 6.30 (dd, J = 8.7, 11.4 Hz, 1H), 5.32 (d, J = 15.7 Hz, 1H), 5.04 (s, 1H), 4.95 (s, 1H), 4.93 (d, J = 11.1 Hz, 1H), 4.17 (s, 1H), 3.98 (d, J = 15.7 Hz, 1H), 3.62 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 3.17 (s, 3H), 2.56 (dd, J = 3.5, 15.5 Hz, 1H), 2.06 (dd, J = 2.8, 15.5 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz) δ 177.4, 172.9, 147.8, 142.2, 136.5, 132.2, 131.6, 128.8, 128.4, 128.2, 127.7, 127.1, 123.7, 122.9, 107.9, 105.9, 61.0, 54.7, 49.9, 44.4, 38.2, 26.4; HRMS calculated for C₂₄H₂₂N₂O₂ 370.1681, found 370.1692.

N-Methyl Spiro-oxindole 25a. To a solution of 24a (0.803 g, 2.16 mmol) in THF (7 mL) was added at 0 °C a freshly prepared 0.5 M solution of dicyclohexylborane in THF (8.67 mL, 4.33 mmol).²⁵ After stirring the reaction mixture for 2 h at 0 °C, a 3 M solution of NaOH in water (4.33 mL, 13.0 mmol) and a 35% solution of H_2O_2 in water (1.68 mL, 17.3 mmol) were added slowly. The mixture was stirred for 1 h at room temperature and then poored onto saturated aqueous NH₄Cl (10 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 \times 20 mL). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated. The residue was chromatographed to give 25a (0.665 g, 79%) as a white solid; $R_f 0.37$ (CH₂Cl₂/acetone 1:1); mp 87–89 °C; $[\alpha]^{22}_{D}$ –13.4 (c = 1.0, CHCl₃); IR 3394, 2932, 1699, 1682, 1610, 1495, 1471, 1450, 1342, 1252. ¹H NMR (400 MHz) δ 7.31–7.24 (m, 7H), 7.07 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 6.81 (d, J= 7.8 Hz, 1H), 6.00 (dd, J = 9.1, 11.6 Hz, 1H), 5.33 (d, J =15.7 Hz, 1H), 5.20 (d, J = 11.7 Hz, 1H), 4.12–4.02 (m, 2H), 3.86 (d, J = 15.7 Hz, 1H), 3.78 (t, J = 4.5 Hz, 1H), 3.26 (dd, J = 6.8, 8.7 Hz, 1H), 3.19 (s, 3H), 2.79-2.75 (m, 1H), 2.30 (dd, J = 4.3, 16.3 Hz, 1H), 2.21 (dd, J = 1.6, 16.1 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz) δ 176.6, 174.9, 142.2, 137.2, 135.1, 132.4, 128.5, 128.4, 128.2, 127.7, 127.2, 123.2, 123.0, 107.9, 59.3, 56.9, 55.3, 45.3, 45.1, 45.0, 32.7, 26.5; HRMS calculated for C₂₄H₂₄N₂O₃ 370.1787, found 370.1787.

Chloromercurial 26a. To a solution of 25a (0.540 g, 1.39 mmol) in THF (14 mL) was added mercury(II) trifluoroacetate (1.19 g, 2.78 mmol). After stirring the reaction mixture for 5 h at room temperature, saturated aqueous NaCl (7 mL) was added, and the mixture was stirred vigorously for an additional 18 h. The aqueous layer was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 \times 20 mL). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated. The residue was chromatographed (load with CH_2Cl_2) to give **26a** (0.762 g, 88%) as a white solid; R_f 0.33 (EtOAc/petroleum ether 4:1); mp 158–160 °C; $[\alpha]^{22}_{D}$ +14.5 (*c* $= 1.0, CHCl_3$; IR 2919, 1687, 1680, 1608, 1494, 1470, 1449, 1349, 1258; ¹H NMR (400 MHz) δ 7.49 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.33–7.22 (m, 6H), 7.10 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 6.83 (d, J = 7.7Hz, 1H), 5.29 (d, J = 15.4 Hz, 1H), 4.28 (dd, J = 3.1, 11.4 Hz, 1H), 4.19 (dd, J = 1.1, 11.3 Hz, 1H), 3.92-3.89 (m, 2H), 3.74 (d, J = 15.4 Hz, 1H), 3.27 (s, 3H), 3.22 (dd, J = 3.9, 9.8 Hz, 1H), 2.97 (t, J = 9.4 Hz, 1H), 2.75 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 2.26 (dd, J = 3.4, 15.4 Hz, 1H), 2.17 (dd, J = 1.7, 15.2 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz) & 179.6, 177.1, 141.4, 136.1, 134.9, 128.5, 128.2, 127.9, 127.4, 124.2, 123.1, 108.0, 79.5, 61.2, 59.1, 57.8, 45.1, 44.4, 43.3, 36.7, 32.6, 26.7; HRMS (FAB+) calculated for $C_{24}H_{24}ClHgN_2O_3$ (M + H) 625.1182, found 625.1215.

Tetrahydropyran 29. To a solution of 26a (0.600 g, 0.962 mmol) in toluene (1.9 mL, 0.5 M!), which was stirred vigorously, were added AIBN (0.0158 g, 0.0962 mmol) and $n-Bu_3$ -SnH (0.647 mL, 2.40 mmol) at one time. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h and then at 55 °C for 1 h. After cooling to room temperature, CCl₄ (0.5 mL) was added, and the mixture was stirred for an additional 1 h and then poured onto a 5% aqueous solution of KF (15 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 \times 25 mL). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated. The residue was chromatographed (first load and eluate with CH₂Cl₂, then with CH₂Cl₂/acetone 2:1) to give 29 (0.299 g, 80%) as a white solid; $R_f 0.34$ (CH₂Cl₂/acetone 2:1); mp 68–70 °C; $[\alpha]^{22}_{D}$ +139.0 (*c* = 1.0, CHCl₃); IR 2917, 1698, 1693, 1609, 1493, 1470, 1447, 1345, 1256; ¹H NMR (400 MHz) δ 7.45 (d, J = 7.4Hz, 1H), 7.31-7.21 (m, 6H), 7.05 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 6.77 (d, J=7.6 Hz, 1H), 5.29 (d, J=15.4 Hz, 1H), 4.23 (dd, J=3.0, 11.3 Hz, 1H), 4.18 (d, J=1.5, 11.2 Hz, 1H), 3.81–3.77 (m, 1H), 3.74–3.72 (m, 1H), 3.70 (d, J=15.4 Hz, 1H), 3.19 (s, 3H), 2.80 (dd, J=8.6, 9.9 Hz, 1H), 2.66 (t, J=8.0 Hz, 1H), 2.57 (d, J=15.0 Hz, 1H), 2.29–2.23 (m, 1H), 2.15–2.14 (m, 2H); $^{13}{\rm C}$ NMR (100 MHz) δ 176.4, 175.5, 141.9, 136.8, 134.9, 128.7, 128.5, 128.0, 127.3, 123.9, 122.6, 107.5, 75.2, 61.8, 58.6, 56.9, 43.1, 36.5, 35.0, 31.7, 26.8, 26.2; HRMS (FAB+) calculated for $C_{24}{\rm H}_{25}{\rm N}_2{\rm O}_3$ (M + H) 389.1865, found 389.1871.

Spiro-oxindole 38. A solution of 29 (0.646 g, 1.66 mmol) and benzoyl peroxide (0.806 g, 3.32 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (3.5 mL) in a sealed tube was heated slowly to 80 °C. After stirring for 18 h, the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, and the solvent was evaporated. The residue was dissolved in a saturated methanolic NH₃ solution (15 mL) and stirred at room temperature for 20 h. The solvent was evaporated and the residue chromatographed (pulverize the residue and load on column with the eluens) to give ${\bf 38}$ (0.463, 74%) as a colorless oil; $R_f 0.33$ (CH₂Cl₂/acetone 1:3); $[\alpha]^{22}_{D}$ +141.8 (c = 1.0, CHCl₃); IR 3169, 2923, 1713, 1677, 1619, 1472, 1451, 1113; ¹H NMR (400 MHz) δ 8.67 (s, 1H, NH), 7.42 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.31-7.17 (m, 6H), 7.01 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 6.90 (d, J =7.7 Hz, 1H), 5.27 (d, J = 15.4 Hz, 1H), 4.21 (dd, J = 2.8, 11.4 Hz, 1H), 4.18 (dd, J = 1.1, 11.4 Hz, 1H), 3.82-3.80 (m, 2H), 3.74 (d, J = 15.4 Hz, 1H), 2.84 (t, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 2.67 (t, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 2.61 (d, J = 16.9 Hz, 1H), 2.32–2.24 (m, 1H), 2.26 (d, J = 16.9 Hz, 1H). 2.16 (dd, J = 3.5, 15.9 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz) δ 178.6, 176.7, 139.4, 136.7, 135.6, 132.9, 130.0, 128.7, 128.3, 128.1, 127.4, 124.3, 122.6, 109.6, 75.1, 61.9, 58.7, 57.6, 44.0, 36.7, 35.1, 31.9, 26.8; HRMS calculated for C23H22N2O3 374.1631, found 374.1641.

Spiro-oxindole 39. To condensed NH₃ (10 mL) was added lithium (0.036 g, 5.18 mmol) at -78 °C. After stirring the deep blue solution for 5 min, 38 (0.200 g, 0.531 mmol) in THF (4 mL) was added slowly. The reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min at -78 °C, and then isoprene (1.32 mL, 13.3 mmol) was added carefully. The ammonia was allowed to evaporate slowly at room temperature by passing a stream of nitrogen over the solution, and the THF was evaporated. The residue was chromatographed (pulverize the residue and load on column with the eluens) to give 39 (0.119 g, 79%) as a white solid; $R_f 0.40$ (CH₂Cl₂/methanol 4:1); mp 191–193 °C; $[\alpha]^{22}_D$ +143.4 (*c* = 1.1, CHCl₃); IR 3407, 1698, 1682, 1621, 1472, 1109. ¹H NMR (400 MHz) δ 9.65 (s, 1H, NH), 7.37 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 1H), 7.08 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 1H), 7.06 (s, 1H, NH), 6.95 (t, J = 7.4Hz, 1H), 6.82 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 4.19–4.16 (m, 2H), 4.00 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 3.77 (d, J = 3.3 Hz, 1H), 2.76 (t, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 2.57 (t, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 2.46 (d, J = 14.7 Hz, 1H), 2.26-2.17 (m, 2H), 1.92 (d, J = 14.7 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz) δ 180.8, 179.0, 139.7, 135.9, 127.9, 124.2, 122.4, 109.7, 74.7, 61.9, 57.5, 56.9, 36.7, 36.6, 36.2, 26.7; HRMS calculated for C₁₆H₁₆N₂O₃ 284.1161, found 284.1167.

Bis-tert-butyl Carbamate 41. To a solution of 39 (0.285 g, 1.00 mmol) in THF (8 mL) were added N,N-(dimethylamino)pyridine (0.735 g, 6.02 mmol) and Boc_2O (1.75 g, 8.03 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred for 5 h and then poured onto saturated aqueous NH₄Cl (15 mL). The waterlayer was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 \times 15 mL). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated. The residue was chromatographed to give 41 (0.379 g, 78%) as a colorless oil; R_{f} 0.41 (EtOAc/petroleum ether 6:1); $[\alpha]^{22}$ _D +60.4 (c = 1.0, CHCl₃); IR 2979, 1789, 1759, 1730, 1711, 1605, 1369, 1306, 1251; ¹H NMR (400 MHz) δ 7.74 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.52 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.27 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.15 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 4.57-4.52 (m, 1H), 4.25 (dd, J = 1.3, 11.4 Hz, 1H), 4.20(dd, J = 2.9, 14.4 Hz, 1H), 3.88 (d, J = 3.6 Hz, 1H), 2.81 (t, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 2.72 (t, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 2.66 (d, J = 15.8 Hz, 1H), 2.53 (d, J = 15.3 Hz, 1H), 2.35-2.27 (m, 1H), 2.22 (dd, J = 3.8, 15.9 Hz, 1H), 1.58 (s, 9H), 1.52 (s, 9H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz) & 175.2, 173.8, 150.2, 149.3, 138.0, 133.7, 128.4, 124.6, 124.1, 114.2, 84.2, 82.7, 74.8, 61.6, 60.3, 56.7, 38.2, 34.2, 33.3, 28.1, 28.0, 26.6; HRMS calculated for $C_{26}H_{32}N_2O_7$ 484.2210, found 484.2197.

TFA Salt of Desmethoxygelsedine (44). To a solution of **41** (0.168 g, 0.346 mmol) in THF (2 mL) at -78 °C was added

a 1 M solution of ethylmagnesium bromide in THF (2.08 mL, 2.08 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at -78 °C for 15 min at room temperature for 15 min and then quenched with saturated aqueous NH₄Cl (5 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 15 mL, water (a few mL) was added to get a clear separation). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated. The residue was not purified, but directly used in the following reaction. To a solution of the residue in CH₂Cl₂ (1.5 mL) was added trifluoroacetic acid (0.320 mL, 4.15 mmol) at 0 °C, and the mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 10 min. Then triethylsilane (0.416 mL, 2.77 mmol) was added. After stirring the solution for 1.5 h at 0 °C and 2.5 h at room temperature, additional trifluoroacetic acid (0.4 mL) was added, and the reaction mixture was stirred for an additional 18 h. The solvents were evaporated, and the residue was chromatographed to give 44 (0.087 g, 61%) as a white solid; $R_f 0.42$ (CH₂Cl₂/methanol 4:1); mp 118–120 °C; $[\alpha]^{22}$ _D +83.0 (*c* = 1.0, MeOH); IR 2971, 1679, 1620, 1472, 1435, 1201, 1124; ¹H NMR (400 MHz) δ 11.5 (s, 1H, NH), 11.3 (s, 1H, NH), 9.32 (s, 1H, NH), 7.33 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.24 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.06 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 6.95 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 4.51 (s, 1H), 4.28–4.24 (m, 2H), 3.80 (s, 1H), 3.72 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 1H), 2.88 (s, 1H), 2.56 (s, 1H), 2.35 (dd, J = 3.4, 16.4 Hz, 1H), 2.26 (d, J = 15.5 Hz, 1H), 2.21–2.06 (m, 2H), 2.03–1.95 (m, 2H), 1.08 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 184.2, 163.4 (q, $J_{CF} = 35.4$ Hz), 141.5, 136.5, 129.9, 126.0, 124.4, 118.3 (q, $J_{CF} = 290.6$ Hz), 111.5, 76.6, 66.4, 63.7, 61.3, 60.9, 40.9, 35.2, 32.0, 22.1, 20.6, 11.4; ¹⁹F NMR (282 MHz, CD₃OD) δ = -77.4; HRMS calculated for C₁₈H₂₂N₂O₂ 298.1682, found 298.1679.

Troc-Protected Desmethoxygelsedine (45). To a solution of 44 (0.144 g, 0.349 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (0.50 mL) and dry pyridine (1.00 mL) was added TrocCl (2,2,2-trichloroethyl chloroformate) (0.057 mL, 0.419 mmol). After stirring for 4.5 h at room temperature, extra TrocCl (0.048 mL, 0.349 mmol) was added, and the reaction mixture was stirred for another 18 h. Then the solvents were evaporated, and the residue was chromatographed (EtOAc/petroleum ether 2:3, load with CH2- Cl_2) to give **45** (0.111 g, 67%) as a colorless oil; $R_f 0.31$ (EtOAc/ petroleum ether 2:3); $[\alpha]^{22}_{D}$ +126.5 (*c* = 1.0, CHCl₃); IR 2934, 2874, 1715, 1699, 1618, 1472, 1404, 1290, 1118; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, benzene- d_6 , T = 340 K) δ 7.37 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 6.97 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 6.88 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 6.35 (d, J = 7.3Hz, 1H), 4.71 (d, J = 11.8 Hz, 1H), 4.60 (s, 1H), 4.21 (s, 1H), 3.86-3.82 (m, 2H), 3.56-3.50 (m, 2H), 3.08-3.00 (m, 1H), 2.74 (d, J = 16.0 Hz, 1H), 2.62 (d, J = 15.3 Hz, 1H), 2.52–2.48 (m, 1H), 2.00–1.87 (m, 2H), 1.66–1.58 (m, 1H), 0.82 (t, J = 7.6Hz, 3H); HRMS (FAB+) calculated for $C_{21}H_{24}Cl_3N_2O_4$ (M + H) 473.0801, found 473.0794. A useful ¹³C NMR spectrum could not be obtained due to rotamers.

Indoline 46. To a solution of 45 (0.110 g, 0.232 mmol) in THF (2 mL) was added at 0 °C BH₃·SMe₂ (0.440 mL, 4.64 mmol) and the reaction mixture was heated at 70 °C for 18 h. Then it was poured onto cold 10% aqueous NaHCO₃ (10 mL) and extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 10 mL). The organic layers were dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated. The residue was dissolved in MeOH (2 mL), and trimethylamine N-oxide dihydrate (0.128 g, 1.16 mmol) was added. The reaction mixture was heated at reflux for 2 h and then poured onto water (10 mL) and extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 \times 10 mL). The organic layers were dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated, and the residue was chromatographed to give 46 (0.078 g, 73%) as a colorless oil; R_{f} 0.29 (EtOAc/petroleum ether 2:3); $[\alpha]^{22}_{D}$ +110.9 (c = 1.0, benzene); IR 3363, 2933, 2861, 1713, 1604, 1398, 1292, 1121; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, benzene- d_6 , T = 340 K) δ 7.63 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.00 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 6.81 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 6.43 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 4.70 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 4.58 (d, J= 12.0 Hz, 1H), 4.12 (s, 1H), 3.89 (d, J = 5.3 Hz, 1H), 3.83 (s, 2H), 3.41 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 3.41 (s, 1H), 2.96 (br s, 1H), 2.83 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 2.84–2.76 (m, 1H), 2.68 (d, J = 15.2 Hz, 1H), 1.94-1.92 (m, 1H), 1.81-1.76 (m, 2H), 1.69-1.57 (m, 2H), 1.45-1.37 (m, 1H), 0.74 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H); HRMS (FAB+) calculated for $C_{21}H_{26}Cl_3N_2O_3$ (M + H) 459.1009, found 459.1005. A useful ¹³C NMR spectrum could not be obtained due to rotamers.

Troc-Protected Gelsedine (47). To a solution of 46 (0.0147 g, 0.0319 mmol) in 10% aqueous MeOH (0.6 mL) were added Na₂WO₄·2H₂O (6.3 mg, 0.019 mmol) and urea·H₂O₂ (0.030 g, 0.32 mmol). After stirring the reaction mixture for 2 h at room temperature, more urea H_2O_2 (0.030 g, 0.32 mmol) was added, and the mixture was stirred for an additional 2 h. Then it was poured onto saturated aqueous NH₄Cl (5 mL) and extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 \times 10 mL). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated. The residue was dissolved in MeOH (4 mL), and a freshly prepared solution of diazomethane in ether (0.5 mL) was added at 0 °C. After stirring the reaction mixture for 1 h at room temperature, the solvent was evaporated and the residue chromatographed to give 47 (5.0 mg, 31%) as a colorless oil; $R_f 0.23$ (EtOAc/ petroleum ether 1:2); $[\alpha]^{22}_{D}$ +95.5 (c = 0.26, CHCl₃); IR 2925, 1716, 1621, 1463, 1402, 1291, 1119; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, benzene- d_6 , T = 340 K) δ 7.38 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.04 (t, J =7.6 Hz, 1H), 6.92 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 6.71 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 4.66 (s, 2H), 4.18 (s, 1H), 3.83 (dd, J = 3.4, 10.9 Hz, 1H), 3.81 (d, J = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 3.60 (s, 3H), 3.54-3.51 (m, 1H), 3.43 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 1H), 3.04–2.98 (m, 1H), 2.72 (d, J = 15.9 Hz, 1H), 2.55 (d, J = 15.2 Hz, 1H), 2.52-2.46 (m, 1H), 2.03-1.85 (m, 3H), 1.67-1.58 (m, 1H), 0.83 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 3H); HRMS (FAB+) calculated for $C_{22}H_{26}Cl_3N_2O_5$ (M + H) 503.0908, found 503.0904. A useful ¹³C NMR spectrum could not be obtained due to rotamers.

ent-Gelsedine (1). To a solution of 47 (4.7 mg, 0.093 mmol) in acetic acid (0.6 mL) was added zinc dust (0.012 g, 0.18 mmol). After stirring the reaction mixture at room temperature for 2 h, more zinc dust (0.012 g, 0.18 mmol) was added, and the mixture was stirred for an additional 18 h. The suspension was filtered and diluted with ice-water (2 mL). The mixture was basified with a 25% aqueous solution of NH_4OH (pH > 10) and was extracted with MeOH/CHCl₃ (1:9, 3×10 mL). The organic layers were washed with brine (4 mL), dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated, and the residue was purified by preparative TLC (plate 10×10 cm, after eluting with MeOH CHCl₃ (1:3), the plate was turned around (180°) and eluted with EtOAc) to give 1 (2.6 mg, 84%) as a colorless oil; $R_f 0.81$ (MeOH/CHCl₃ 1:3), $[\alpha]^{22}_{D}$ +120.4 (*c* = 0.25, CHCl₃); IR 3246, 2926, 1707, 1616, 1465, 1435, 1327, 1221, 1044; ¹H NMR (400 MHz) δ 7.39 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.29 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.12 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 6.94 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 4.33 (dd, J = 4.1, 10.9 Hz, 1H), 4.24 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.00 (s, 3H), 3.74 (s, 1H, NH), 3.70 (s, 1H), 3.50 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 2.97 (s, 1H), 2.49-2.47 (m, 1H), 2.19 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 2.13-2.09 (m, 1H), 2.12 (d, J = 3.6, 16.0 Hz, 1H), 2.02 (d, J = 16.0 Hz, 1H), 1.96-1.87 (m, 1H), 1.85-1.78 (m, 1H), 1.76-1.69 (m, 1H), 1.00 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz) δ 174.5, 137.9, 131.7, 128.2, 125.3, 123.7, 107.1, 74.6, 65.4, 63.8, 63.4, 59.6, 57.3, 41.6, 34.6, 33.8, 21.4, 21.2, 11.9; HRMS (FAB+) calculated for $C_{19}H_{25}N_2O_3$ (M + H) 329.1865, found 329.1867.

Acknowledgment. We are very grateful to Professor H. Takayama of Chiba University (Japan) for providing us with NMR spectra and a sample of (–)-gelsedine. We thank Professor A. S. Kende of the University of Rochester (USA) for sending us a copy of the thesis of M. J. Luzzio. We thank Professor C. Szántay of the Technical University of Budapest (Hungary) for drawing our attention to the article on the *N*-demethylation of an indole. R. H. Balk is kindly acknowledged for synthesizing considerable amounts of starting materials.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental procedures and spectral data for compounds **18**, **19**, **23b–d**, **24b–d**, **25b–d**, **26b**, **30**, **33**, **34**, and **40** and ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra of many compounds including *ent*-gelsedine. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

JO001119T